# Sums of similar convex bodies and spherical harmonics

Rolf Schneider
U Freiburg

Palo Alto, August 2007

Basic operations on the space  $K^n$  of convex bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :

# Minkowski addition:

$$K + L := \{x + y : x \in K, y \in L\}, \qquad K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n,$$

## dilatation:

$$\alpha K := \{\alpha x : x \in K\}, \qquad K \in \mathcal{K}^n, \ \alpha \ge 0.$$

The support function

$$h_K(u) := \max\{\langle u, x \rangle : x \in K\} \qquad u \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

has the nice property that

$$h_{K+L} = h_K + h_L, \qquad h_{\alpha K} = \alpha h_K.$$

Moreover, for the Hausdorff metric  $\delta$ ,

$$\delta(K, L) = \max\{|h_K(u) - h_L(u)| : u \in S^{n-1}\}.$$

The simplest non-trivial convex body is a segment.

Support function of a segment S with center 0:

$$h_S(u) = |\langle u, v \rangle| \alpha$$
 with  $v \in S^{n-1}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ .

A zonotope is a sum of finitely many segments. Support function of a zonotope Z with center 0:

$$h_Z(u) = \sum_{i=1}^k |\langle u, v_i \rangle| \alpha_i$$
 with  $v_i \in S^{n-1}$ ,  $\alpha_i > 0$ .

A zonoid is a limit of zonotopes.

Support function of a zonoid Z with center 0:

$$h_Z(u) = \int_{S^{n-1}} |\langle u, v \rangle| \, \rho(dv)$$

with a finite Borel measure  $\rho$ .

A generalized zonoid K with center 0 has support function

$$h_K(u) = \int_{S^{n-1}} |\langle u, v \rangle| \, \rho(dv)$$

with a finite signed Borel measure  $\rho$ .

$$K$$
 generalized zonoid  $\Leftrightarrow h_K = h_{Z_2} - h_{Z_1}$  with zonoids  $Z_1, Z_2$   $\Leftrightarrow K + Z_1 = Z_2$  with zonoids  $Z_1, Z_2$ 

Let  $\mathcal{K}_s^n$  denote the set of centrally symmetric convex bodies.

- (a) The zonoids are nowhere dense in  $\mathcal{K}_s^n$ .
- (b) The generalized zonoids are dense in  $\mathcal{K}_s^n$ .

Fact (b) has often been useful in the investigation of centrally symmetric convex bodies.

Reminder of the proof of (b):

For given  $K \in \mathcal{K}^n_s$  with center 0, try to solve the integral equation

$$h_K(u) = \int_{S^{n-1}} |\langle u, v \rangle| f(v) d\sigma(v)$$

( $\sigma$  = spherical Lebesgue measure) with an integrable function f.

If  $h_K$  is sufficiently smooth, a continuous solution f exists (by expansions in spherical harmonics). An even solution is unique.

**Question:** (to get rid of the central symmetry)

Can the segment S be replaced by a non-symmetric convex body? (to obtain a dense class in  $\mathcal{K}^n$  instead of  $\mathcal{K}^n_s$ )

In other words:

Suppose we have only one convex body B at our hands and want to produce other convex bodies from it by taking Minkowski linear combinations of congruent copies of B, limits, and differences.

How big a class of convex bodies can we obtain?

# **Definitions:**

Minkowski class: a subset of  $\mathcal{K}^n$  that is closed

- in the Hausdorff metric,
- under Minkowski linear combinations,
- under translations.

Let G be a subgroup of GL(n), for example SO(n).

The Minkowski class  $\mathcal{M}$  is G-invariant if  $K \in \mathcal{M} \Rightarrow gK \in \mathcal{M}$  for all  $g \in G$ .

If  $B \in \mathcal{K}^n$  and  $G \subset GL(n)$  are given,  $\mathcal{M}_{B,G}$  is defined as the smallest G-invariant Minkowski class containing B.

Examples: If S is a segment and B is a ball, then

$$\mathcal{M}_{S,SO(n)}=\mathcal{M}_{S,GL(n)}=\{ ext{zonoids}\},$$
 
$$\mathcal{M}_{B,SO(n)}=\{ ext{balls}\},$$
 
$$\mathcal{M}_{B,GL(n)}=\{ ext{zonoids}\}.$$

K is called an  $\mathcal{M}$ -body if  $K \in \mathcal{M}$ .

K is called a generalized  $\mathcal{M}$ -body if  $K+M_1=M_2$  with  $\mathcal{M}$ -bodies  $M_1,M_2$ .

Fact: For  $B \in \mathcal{K}^n$ , the class  $\mathcal{M}_{B,GL(n)}$  is nowhere dense in  $\mathcal{K}^n$ .

# What about generalized $\mathcal{M}_{B,GL(n)}$ -bodies?

Theorem (Sch. 1996) Let  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a triangle with an irrational angle. Then the set of generalized  $\mathcal{M}_{T,SO(n)}$ -bodies is dense in  $\mathcal{K}^n$ .

Theorem (Alesker 2003) Let K be a non-symmetric convex body. Then the set of generalized  $\mathcal{M}_{K,GL(n)}$ -bodies is dense in  $\mathcal{K}^n$ .

Alesker's proof uses representation theory for the group GL(n).

Of course, Alesker's result does not hold if the general linear group GL(n) is replaced by the rotation group SO(n).

Example: If K is a body of constant width, then all generalized  $\mathcal{M}_{K,SO(n)}$ -bodies are of constant width.

**Results** (joint work with Franz Schuster)

Theorem 1. Let  $B \in \mathcal{K}^n$  be non-symmetric. Then every neighborhood of B contains an affine image B' of B such that the set of generalized  $\mathcal{M}_{B',SO(n)}$ -bodies is dense in  $\mathcal{K}^n$ .

Definition:  $B \in \mathcal{K}^n$  is called universal if the expansion of  $h_B$  in spherical harmonics contains non-zero harmonics of all orders.

Theorem 2. Let  $B \in \mathcal{K}^n$ . The set of generalized  $\mathcal{M}_{B,SO(n)}$ -bodies is dense in  $\mathcal{K}^n$  if and only if B is universal.

Theorem 3. Let  $B \in \mathcal{K}^n$  be non-symmetric. Then every neighborhood of B contains a universal affine image of B.

Theorem 3 has a counterpart for symmetric bodies.

# **Basics on spherical harmonics**

A spherical harmonic of order m on  $S^{n-1}$  is the restriction to  $S^{n-1}$  of a harmonic polynomial of order m on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

 $\mathcal{H}_m^n$  vector space of spherical harmonics of order m

 $N_{n,m}$  dimension of  $\mathcal{H}_m^n$ 

 $\mathcal{H}^n$  vector space of finite sums of spherical harmonics

Scalar product on  $C(S^{n-1})$ :

$$(f,g) := \int_{S^{n-1}} fg \, d\sigma$$

 $Y_{m1},\ldots,Y_{mN_{n,m}}$  a fixed orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}_m^n$ 

Let  $\pi_m: C(S^{n-1}) \to \mathcal{H}_m^n$  denote the orthogonal projection, thus

$$\pi_m f := \sum_{j=1}^{N_{n,m}} (f, Y_{mj}) Y_{mj}, \qquad f \in C(S^{n-1}).$$

One calls

$$f \sim \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \pi_m f$$

the condensed harmonic expansion of f.

Definition:  $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$  is universal if  $\pi_m h_K \neq 0$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Remark: With b(K) = mean width of K and s(K) = Steiner point of K we have

$$(\pi_0 h_K)(u) = b(K)/2, \qquad (\pi_1 h_K)(u) = \langle s(K), u \rangle.$$

# On the proof of Theorem 2

Theorem 2. Let  $B \in \mathcal{K}^n$ . The set of generalized  $\mathcal{M}_{B,SO(n)}$ -bodies is dense in  $\mathcal{K}^n$  if and only if B is universal.

" $\Rightarrow$ ": If  $\pi_m h_B = 0$  for some m, then  $\pi_m h_K = 0$  for all generalized  $\mathcal{M}_{B,SO(n)}$ -bodies K and their limits. But there exists  $M \in \mathcal{K}^n$  with  $\pi_m h_M \neq 0$ .

" $\Leftarrow$ ": Let B be universal.

Recall that for showing that a sufficiently smooth body K with center 0 is a generalized zonoid, we solved the integral equation

$$h_K(u) = \int_{S^{n-1}} |\langle u, v \rangle| f(v) d\sigma(v).$$

We try to solve a corresponding integral equation on the group SO(n). Let  $\nu$  be the normalized Haar measure on SO(n). Suppose we can solve the integral equation

$$h_K(u) = \int_{SO(n)} h_{\vartheta B}(u) f(\vartheta) d\nu(\vartheta).$$

Then we decompose  $f = f^+ - f^-$  and get

$$h_K(u) + \underbrace{\int_{SO(n)} h_{\vartheta B}(u) f^{-}(v) d\nu(\vartheta)}_{h_{M_1}(u)} = \underbrace{\int_{SO(n)} h_{\vartheta B}(u) f^{+}(v) d\nu(\vartheta)}_{h_{M_2}(u)},$$

where  $M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{B,SO(n)}$  (approximate  $\nu$  by discrete measures).

Since  $K + M_1 = M_2$ , the body K is a generalized  $\mathcal{M}_{B,SO(n)}$ -body.

It is sufficient to assume that  $h_K \in \mathcal{H}^n$ , because the set of such bodies is dense in  $\mathcal{K}^n$ .

How to solve

$$h_K(u) = \int_{SO(n)} h_{\vartheta B}(u) f(\vartheta) d\nu(\vartheta), \qquad u \in S^{n-1},$$

for

$$h_K = \sum_{m=0}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{n,m}} a_{mj} Y_{mj},$$

by a function f?

We use a kind of Fourier expansion on the group SO(n).

The space  $\mathcal{H}_m^n$  is invariant under the operation  $(\vartheta f)(u) := f(\vartheta^{-1}u)$ , where  $\vartheta \in SO(n)$ . Hence,

$$\vartheta Y_{mj}(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{n,m}} t_{ij}^m(\vartheta) Y_{mi}(u), \qquad u \in S^{n-1},$$

with real coefficients  $t_{ij}^m(\vartheta)$ .

They satisfy orthogonality relations

$$N_{n,m} \int_{SO(n)} t_{ij}^m t_{sk}^p d\nu = \delta_{mp} \delta_{is} \delta_{jk}$$

and, as a consequence, for  $f \in C(S^{n-1})$ , the formula

$$\int_{SO(n)} \vartheta f(u) t_{ij}^m(\vartheta) d\nu(\vartheta) = N_{n,m}^{-1}(f, Y_{mj}) Y_{mi}(u)$$

(it suffices to prove this for  $f = Y_{kr}$ ).

Define  $b_{mj} := (h_B, Y_{mj})$ . Since B is universal, there is some  $j_m$  with  $b_{mj_m} \neq 0$ . Use this to define

$$f := N_{n,m} \sum_{m=0}^{k} \frac{1}{b_{mj_m}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{n,m}} a_{mi} t_{ij_m}^{m}.$$

This function f solves the integral equation.

On the proof of Theorem 3 (the main result).

Theorem 3. Let  $B \in \mathcal{K}^n$  be non-symmetric. Then there exists  $g \in GL(n)$ , arbitrarily close to the identity, such that gB is universal.

We explain the idea of the proof by demonstrating the 'easier half' of Theorem 3:

Proposition. Let  $B \in \mathcal{K}^n$  be non-trivial. Then there exists  $g \in GL(n)$ , arbitrarily close to the identity, such that  $\pi_m h_{gB} \neq 0$  for all even numbers  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

We reduce this to the fact that a segment S satisfies

 $\pi_m h_S \neq 0$  for all even m.

(This is the reason for the solvability of the zonoid equation.)

In Cartesian coordinates, let  $\Pi_1$  be the projection onto the  $x_1$ -axis, and suppose  $\Pi_1 B =: S$  is a non-degenerate segment.

Define  $g(\lambda) \in GL(n)$  by

$$g(\lambda): (x_1,\ldots,x_n) \mapsto (x_1,\lambda x_2,\ldots,\lambda x_n).$$

For  $\lambda \to 0$ , the map  $g(\lambda)$  converges to  $\Pi_1$ . It follows that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} (h_{g(\lambda)B}, Y_{mj}) = (h_S, Y_{mj}).$$

If m is even, then  $(h_S, Y_{mj_m}) \neq 0$  for some  $j_m$ .

Hence, the function

$$F(\lambda) := (h_{q(\lambda)B}, Y_{mj_m}), \qquad \lambda \in (0, 1],$$

does not vanish identically. This function is real analytic.

Hence, the set

$$Z_m := \{ \lambda \in (0,1] : \pi_m h_{g(\lambda)K} = 0 \}$$

is countable. This holds for each even m.

Therefore, every neighborhood of 1 contains some  $\lambda$  with

$$\pi_m h_{g(\lambda)K} \neq 0$$
 for all even  $m$ .

This completes the proof of the Proposition.

**Strategy** for the 'second half', i.e., K non-symmetric, m arbitrary

## Recall the claim:

Theorem 3. Let  $B \in \mathcal{K}^n$  be non-symmetric. Then there exists  $g \in GL(n)$ , arbitrarily close to the identity, such  $\pi_m h_{gB} \neq 0$  for all m.

- 1.) Prove the two-dimensional case of Theorem 3.
- **2.)** Lemma. If  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and B is universal in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , then B is universal in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- **3.)** Similarly as before, use linear maps converging to the projection onto  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

We indicate only Step 1.

# The two-dimensional case

Let  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a non-symmetric convex body.

Write  $h_B((\cos\varphi,\sin\varphi)) =: h_B(\varphi)$ .

The space  $\mathcal{H}_m^2$  is spanned by the functions  $\cos m\varphi$  and  $\sin m\varphi$ . Therefore, in complex notation

$$\pi_m h_{gB} = 0 \iff \int_0^{2\pi} h_{gB}(\varphi) e^{im\varphi} d\varphi = 0.$$

Define a map  $F_{B,m}:GL(2)^+\to\mathbb{C}$  by

$$F_{B,m}(g) := \int_0^{2\pi} h_{gB}(\varphi) e^{im\varphi} d\varphi$$
 for  $g \in GL(2)^+$ .

This map is real analytic.

Proposition. The relation

$$F_{B,m}(g) = \int_0^{2\pi} h_{gB}(\varphi) e^{im\varphi} d\varphi = 0$$
 for all  $g \in GL(2)^+$ 

cannot hold for any odd integer  $m \geq 1$ .

For the proof, let m be a smallest counterexample. We use

$$g(\lambda) \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $R(\alpha) \sim \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \\ -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix}$ .

Consider the first map. From  $h_{g(\lambda)B}(\varphi)=\sqrt{\cos^2\varphi+\lambda^2\sin^2\varphi}\,h_B(\psi)$  and a substitution we get

$$F_{B,m}(g(\lambda)) = \lambda^2 \int_0^{2\pi} h_B(\psi) \frac{(\lambda \cos \psi + i \sin \psi)^m}{(\lambda^2 \cos^2 \psi + \sin^2 \psi)^{\frac{m+3}{2}}} d\psi.$$

Since this vanishes for all  $\lambda \in (0,1]$ , the derivative with respect to  $\lambda$  at 1 vanishes. This yields

$$\int_0^{2\pi} h_B(\psi) [(3-m) e^{i(m-2)\psi} + (3+m) e^{i(m+2)\psi}] d\psi = 0.$$

Now we use the second map. Since  $F_{B,m}(R(\alpha))=0$  for  $\alpha$  in a neighborhood of 0, the preceding holds with  $\psi+\alpha$  instead of  $\psi$  in the exponents. This yields

$$\int_0^{2\pi} h_B(\psi) e^{i(m-2)\psi} d\psi = 0 \quad \text{for } m \neq 3,$$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} h_B(\psi) e^{i(m+2)\psi} d\psi = 0.$$

Now the existence of a smallest counterexample m leads to a contradiction.