The Atlas of Lie groups and representations: Character Table

Alfred G. Noël Department of Mathematics University of Massachusetts, Boston

June 26, 2007

Outline

Fokko du Cloux - 12/20/1954 - 11/10/2006

Representation Theory

The Character table of E_8

What next?

The software is in an early stage of development. You may input:

The software is in an early stage of development. You may input:

• Input the data for a general connected complex group G,

The software is in an early stage of development. You may input:

- Input the data for a general connected complex group G,
- Specify an inner class of strong real forms of G,

The software is in an early stage of development. You may input:

- Input the data for a general connected complex group G,
- Specify an inner class of strong real forms of G,
- Specify a real form of G,

The software is in an early stage of development. You may input:

- Input the data for a general connected complex group G,
- Specify an inner class of strong real forms of G,
- Specify a real form of G,

The software is in an early stage of development. You may input:

- Input the data for a general connected complex group G,
- Specify an inner class of strong real forms of G,
- Specify a real form of G,

The software will compute:

• The component group of G,

The software is in an early stage of development. You may input:

- Input the data for a general connected complex group G,
- Specify an inner class of strong real forms of G,
- Specify a real form of G,

- The component group of G,
- The conjugacy classes of Cartan subgroups of G,

The software is in an early stage of development. You may input:

- Input the data for a general connected complex group G,
- Specify an inner class of strong real forms of G,
- Specify a real form of G,

- The component group of G,
- •The conjugacy classes of Cartan subgroups of G,
- The ("real") Weyl group of each Cartan subgroup,

The software is in an early stage of development. You may input:

- Input the data for a general connected complex group G,
- Specify an inner class of strong real forms of G,
- Specify a real form of G,

- The component group of G,
- •The conjugacy classes of Cartan subgroups of G,
- The ("real") Weyl group of each Cartan subgroup,
- Parameters for the irreducible representations of G with regular integral infinitesimal character,

The software is in an early stage of development. You may input:

- Input the data for a general connected complex group G,
- Specify an inner class of strong real forms of G,
- Specify a real form of G,

- The component group of G,
- •The conjugacy classes of Cartan subgroups of G,
- The ("real") Weyl group of each Cartan subgroup,
- Parameters for the irreducible representations of G with regular integral infinitesimal character,
- Gradings of roots (compact imaginary, non-compact imaginary, parity condition, etc.),

The software is in an early stage of development. You may input:

- Input the data for a general connected complex group G,
- Specify an inner class of strong real forms of G,
- Specify a real form of G,

- The component group of G,
- •The conjugacy classes of Cartan subgroups of G,
- The ("real") Weyl group of each Cartan subgroup,
- Parameters for the irreducible representations of G with regular integral infinitesimal character,
- Gradings of roots (compact imaginary, non-compact imaginary, parity condition, etc.),
- The cross action and Cayley transforms of irreducible characters,

The software is in an early stage of development. You may input:

- Input the data for a general connected complex group G,
- Specify an inner class of strong real forms of G,
- Specify a real form of G,

- The component group of G,
- •The conjugacy classes of Cartan subgroups of G,
- The ("real") Weyl group of each Cartan subgroup,
- Parameters for the irreducible representations of G with regular integral infinitesimal character,
- Gradings of roots (compact imaginary, non-compact imaginary, parity condition, etc.),
- The cross action and Cayley transforms of irreducible characters,
- Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials for representations with regular integral infinitesimal character



Members of the Atlas of Lie Groups and Representations

- 1. Jeffrey Adams
- 2. Dan Barbasch
- 3. Birne Binegar
- 4. Bill Casselman
- 5. Dan Ciubotaru
- 6. Scott Crofts
- 7. Fokko du Cloux
- Alfred Noël
- 9. Tatiana Howard

- jda@math.umd.edu barbasch@math.cornell.edu
- binegar@math.okstate.edu
- cass@math.ubc.ca
- ciubo@math.cornell.edu
- crofts@math.utah.edu
- anoel@math.umb.edu
- thoward@math.umd.edu

- 10. Alessandra Pantano
- Annegret Paul
- 13. Patrick Polo
- 14. Siddhartha Sahi
- 15. Susana Salamanca
- 16. John Stembridge
- 17. Peter Trapa
- 18. Marc van Leeuwen
- 19. David Vogan
- 20. Wai-Ling Yee
- 21. Jiu-Kang Yu
- 22. Gregg Zuckerman

pantano@math.cornell.edu paul@wmich.edu polo@math.jussieu.edu sahi@gnumath.rutgers.edu ssalaman@nmsu.edu jrs@umich.edu ptrapa@math.utah.edu maavl@mathlabo.univ-poitiers.fr dav@math.mit.edu wlyee@math.mit.edu jyu@math.purdue.edu gregg@math.yale.edu

Outline

Fokko du Cloux - 12/20/1954 - 11/10/2006

Representation Theory

The Character table of E_8

What next?

G: connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak g$

A **representation** of a Lie Group G on a complex Hilbert space $V \neq 0$ is a homomorphism π of G into the group of bounded Linear operators on V such that:

G: connected Lie group with Lie algebra g

A **representation** of a Lie Group G on a complex Hilbert space $V \neq 0$ is a homomorphism π of G into the group of bounded Linear operators on V such that:

 $G \times V \to V$ given by $(g, v) \to \pi(g)v$ is continuous

G: connected Lie group with Lie algebra g

A **representation** of a Lie Group G on a complex Hilbert space $V \neq 0$ is a homomorphism π of G into the group of bounded Linear operators on V such that:

$$G \times V \to V$$
 given by $(g, v) \to \pi(g)v$ is continuous

 $\dim \pi = \dim V$ We say that (π, V) is unitary if $\pi(g)$ is a unitary operator.

We say that (π, V) is irreducible if the only G-invariant subspaces of V are $\{0\}$ and V.

G: connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak g$

A **representation** of a Lie Group G on a complex Hilbert space $V \neq 0$ is a homomorphism π of G into the group of bounded Linear operators on V such that:

$$G \times V \to V$$
 given by $(g, v) \to \pi(g)v$ is continuous

 $\dim \pi = \dim V$ We say that (π, V) is unitary if $\pi(g)$ is a unitary operator.

We say that (π, V) is irreducible if the only G-invariant subspaces of V are $\{0\}$ and V.

The set of irreducible unitary representations (**The Unitary Dual**) of G denoted by \hat{G} is a fundamental tool to understand the actions of G. First we will try to understand arbitrary unitary representations

G: connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak g$

A **representation** of a Lie Group G on a complex Hilbert space $V \neq 0$ is a homomorphism π of G into the group of bounded Linear operators on V such that:

$$G \times V \to V$$
 given by $(g, v) \to \pi(g)v$ is continuous

 $\dim \pi = \dim V$ We say that (π, V) is unitary if $\pi(g)$ is a unitary operator.

We say that (π, V) is irreducible if the only G-invariant subspaces of V are $\{0\}$ and V.

The set of irreducible unitary representations (**The Unitary Dual**) of G denoted by \hat{G} is a fundamental tool to understand the actions of G. First we will try to understand arbitrary unitary representations

The Atlas of Lie Groups and Representations group seeks to compute \hat{G} when G is about the size of E_8 . (benchmark!!)

Lemma

If G is a noncompact linear simple group then any non trivial irreducible unitary representation of G is of infinite dimension.

Lemma

If G is a noncompact linear simple group then any non trivial irreducible unitary representation of G is of infinite dimension.

 \hat{G} may be infinite

Lemma

If G is a noncompact linear simple group then any non trivial irreducible unitary representation of G is of infinite dimension.

 \hat{G} may be infinite

How does one represent such an infinite structure on a Computer?

Let $\pi: G \to Aut(V)$ be a finite dimensional representation of G. (dim $V < \infty$)

Let $\pi: G \to Aut(V)$ be a finite dimensional representation of G. (dim $V < \infty$)

Character of π is a function on G defined as follows:

$$\Theta_{\pi}(g) = trace(\pi(g))$$

Let $\pi: G \to Aut(V)$ be a finite dimensional representation of G. (dim $V < \infty$)

Character of π is a function on G defined as follows:

$$\Theta_{\pi}(g) = \mathit{trace}(\pi(g))$$

• Θ_{π} is constant on conjugacy classes in G (trace class)

Let $\pi: G \to Aut(V)$ be a finite dimensional representation of G. (dim $V < \infty$)

Character of π is a function on G defined as follows:

$$\Theta_{\pi}(g) = trace(\pi(g))$$

- Θ_{π} is constant on conjugacy classes in G (trace class)
- ullet Irreducible representations having the same character are equivalent that is there exist an invertible map Φ such that:

$$\Phi \circ \pi_1 = \Phi \circ \pi_2$$

(intertwining map of representations)

Let $\pi: G \to Aut(V)$ be a finite dimensional representation of G. (dim $V < \infty$)

Character of π is a function on G defined as follows:

$$\Theta_{\pi}(g) = trace(\pi(g))$$

- Θ_{π} is constant on conjugacy classes in G (trace class)
- Irreducible representations having the same character are equivalent that is there exist an invertible map Φ such that:

$$\Phi \circ \pi_1 = \Phi \circ \pi_2$$

(intertwining map of representations)

ullet A character table for G is a list of all the character values of all the irreducible representations.

This makes sense for finite and compact groups

(irreducible representations are finite dimensional)

Herman Weyl showed us how

Herman Weyl showed us how

Theorem

(Weyl) Suppose

$$G = \{a + bi + cj + dk \mid a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 = 1\}$$

$$T = \{a + bi \mid a^2 + b^2 = 1\} = \{\exp(i\theta) : \theta \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset G.$$

• There is exactly one irreducible π_n for each n > 0



Herman Weyl showed us how

Theorem

(Weyl) Suppose

$$G = \{a + bi + cj + dk \mid a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 = 1\}$$

$$T = \{a + bi \mid a^2 + b^2 = 1\} = \{\exp(i\theta) : \theta \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset G.$$

- There is exactly one irreducible π_n for each n > 0
- Θ_{π_n} is determined by its restriction to T

Herman Weyl showed us how

Theorem

(Weyl) Suppose

$$G = \{a + bi + cj + dk \mid a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 = 1\}$$

$$T = \{a + bi \mid a^2 + b^2 = 1\} = \{\exp(i\theta) : \theta \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset G.$$

- There is exactly one irreducible π_n for each n > 0
- Θ_{π_n} is determined by its restriction to T
- $\Theta_{\pi_n}(\exp(i\theta)) = \sin(n\theta)/\sin(\theta)$

Character table for infinite-dimensional representations

The difficulties are more fundamental:

The difficulties are more fundamental:

▶ An operator $\pi(g)$ does not have a trace

The difficulties are more fundamental:

- An operator $\pi(g)$ does not have a trace
- One has to smooth the operator by averaging over compact collection of values of g

The difficulties are more fundamental:

- An operator $\pi(g)$ does not have a trace
- One has to smooth the operator by averaging over compact collection of values of g
- Harish-Chandra shows how to do that
 (using distributions, general functions)
 The character of an irreducible unitary representation of G is a generalized function on G

The difficulties are more fundamental:

- An operator $\pi(g)$ does not have a trace
- One has to smooth the operator by averaging over compact collection of values of g
- Harish-Chandra shows how to do that
 (using distributions, general functions)
 The character of an irreducible unitary representation of G is a generalized function on G
- ▶ To handle infinitely many π use the Jantzen-Zuckerman "translation principle" which partitions the irreducible representations into finitely many families.

Kazhdan-Lusztig-Vogan Polynomials

Harish-Chandra, Langlands, Knapp, Zuckerman Each irreducible character Θ_{π} has a unique expression

$$\Theta_{\pi} = \sum_{j=1}^{N(\lambda)} c_{(\pi,j)}^{(\lambda)} \gamma_{j}^{(\lambda)}$$

where the $c_{\pi,j}$ are all integers and γ_j 's form a basis for the global solutions of a certain differential equations of characters solved by Harish-Chandra. Here λ refers to the infinitesimal character of π that is a homomorphism from the center of $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ to \mathbb{C} .

Theorem

(Langlands and Knapp-Zuckerman) There is a natural bijection between the set of irreducible representations of G with infinitesimal character λ and Haris-Chandra's solutions to the differential equations of characters. Write π_i for each irreducible representations corresponding to the solution γ_i . Then the square matrix $c_{\pi_i,j}$ is a lower triangular integer matrix with 1's on the diagonal.

Theorem

(Langlands and Knapp-Zuckerman) There is a natural bijection between the set of irreducible representations of G with infinitesimal character λ and Haris-Chandra's solutions to the differential equations of characters. Write π_i for each irreducible representations corresponding to the solution γ_i . Then the square matrix $c_{\pi_i,j}$ is a lower triangular integer matrix with 1's on the diagonal.

The $c_{\pi_i,j}$'s depend on λ but not too much. The translation principle gives a way of controlling this dependence.

Theorem

(Langlands and Knapp-Zuckerman) There is a natural bijection between the set of irreducible representations of G with infinitesimal character λ and Haris-Chandra's solutions to the differential equations of characters. Write π_i for each irreducible representations corresponding to the solution γ_i . Then the square matrix $c_{\pi_i,j}$ is a lower triangular integer matrix with 1's on the diagonal.

The $c_{\pi_i,j}$'s depend on λ but not too much. The translation principle gives a way of controlling this dependence.

Works of Beilinson-Bernstein, Wolf, Vogan show that the entries $c_{\pi_i,j} = P_{i,j}(1)$

Theorem

(Langlands and Knapp-Zuckerman) There is a natural bijection between the set of irreducible representations of G with infinitesimal character λ and Haris-Chandra's solutions to the differential equations of characters. Write π_i for each irreducible representations corresponding to the solution γ_i . Then the square matrix $c_{\pi_i,j}$ is a lower triangular integer matrix with 1's on the diagonal.

The $c_{\pi_i,j}$'s depend on λ but not too much. The translation principle gives a way of controlling this dependence.

Works of Beilinson-Bernstein, Wolf, Vogan show that the entries $c_{\pi_i,j} = P_{i,j}(1)$

The polynomials $P_{i,j}(q)$ turn out to be combinations of Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials and are called Kazhdan-Lusztig-Vogan polynomials.



Theorem

(Langlands and Knapp-Zuckerman) There is a natural bijection between the set of irreducible representations of G with infinitesimal character λ and Haris-Chandra's solutions to the differential equations of characters. Write π_i for each irreducible representations corresponding to the solution γ_i . Then the square matrix $c_{\pi_i,j}$ is a lower triangular integer matrix with 1's on the diagonal.

The $c_{\pi_i,j}$'s depend on λ but not too much. The translation principle gives a way of controlling this dependence.

Works of Beilinson-Bernstein, Wolf, Vogan show that the entries $c_{\pi_{i},j} = P_{i,j}(1)$

The polynomials $P_{i,j}(q)$ turn out to be combinations of Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials and are called Kazhdan-Lusztig-Vogan polynomials.



 $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ real reductive group $K_{\mathbb{R}}$ a maximal compact subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$

 $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ real reductive group $K_{\mathbb{R}}$ a maximal compact subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$

G the complexification of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ $K \subset G$ the complexification of $K_{\mathbb{R}}$

B Borel subalgebra of G

K acts on G/B: the flag variety

Beilinson and Bernstein Harish-Chandra solutions to the differential equations are naturally in one-to-one correspondence with pairs (Z_0,\mathfrak{L}) consisting of a K orbit on G/B and a K-equivariant local system \mathfrak{L} on Z_0 . \mathfrak{L} is a representation of the component group $K^x/(K^x)^\circ$ for $x\in Z_0$

Beilinson and Bernstein Harish-Chandra solutions to the differential equations are naturally in one-to-one correspondence with pairs (Z_0,\mathfrak{L}) consisting of a K orbit on G/B and a K-equivariant local system \mathfrak{L} on Z_0 . \mathfrak{L} is a representation of the component group $K^x/(K^x)^\circ$ for $x\in Z_0$

Using work of Vogan and ideas from Intersection Homology we obtain the KLV-polynomials

$$P_{i,j} = \sum_m p_{ij}^m q^{m/2}$$

Beilinson and Bernstein

Harish-Chandra solutions to the differential equations are naturally in one-to-one correspondence with pairs (Z_0,\mathfrak{L}) consisting of a K orbit on G/B and a K-equivariant local system \mathfrak{L} on Z_0 . \mathfrak{L} is a representation of the component group $K^x/(K^x)^\circ$ for $x\in Z_0$

Using work of Vogan and ideas from Intersection Homology we obtain the KLV-polynomials

$$P_{i,j} = \sum_m p_{ij}^m q^{m/2}$$

i and j are local systems on K-orbits on G/B The $p_{i,j}^m$'s are non negative and are zero when m is odd. So we do have polynomials.

Wolf

- a) K has finitely many orbits on G/B
- b) Every orbit of K on G/B arises by a finite succession of "thickening" operations applied to some closed orbit.

Wolf

- a) K has finitely many orbits on G/B
- b) Every orbit of K on G/B arises by a finite succession of "thickening" operations applied to some closed orbit.

Versions of the Weil conjectures for intersection homology, proved by Bilinson, Bernstein, and Deligne give the tool to develop an algorithm for computing KLV polynomials by induction on the dimension of K orbits on G/B.

In the original K-L's work the K orbits on G/B are simply connected, so the local systems involved are trivial. For real groups they have non trivial fundamental groups and therefore the local systems are not all trivial.

In the original K-L's work the K orbits on G/B are simply connected, so the local systems involved are trivial. For real groups they have non trivial fundamental groups and therefore the local systems are not all trivial.

Vogan gave a scheme for keeping track of these local systems. The end product in some cases is a set of inductive formulae for sum of K-L polynomials corresponding to two local systems. The major difficulty is to find ways to solve the resulting collection of equations.

The atlas software knows how to compute KVL polynomials using results from:

Vogan: The Kazhdan-Lusztig conjectures for real reductive groups Representation theory of reductive groups Proceedings of the conference held at the University of Utah, Park City, Utah, April 16-20, 1982. Edited by P. C. Trombi. Progress in Mathematics, 40, Birkhäuser, Boston MA. 1983 (223-264)

Fokko du Cloux: *Computing Kazhdan-Lusztig Polynomials for Arbitrary Coxeter Groups* Experimental Mathematics 11:3 2001 (371-381)

Outline

Fokko du Cloux - 12/20/1954 - 11/10/2006

Representation Theory

The Character table of E₈

What next?

That brings the mathematical story up to about 1985.

That brings the mathematical story up to about 1985.

By 2002 it was possible via the work of Dan Barbasch to think that one can find a finite description of the unitary duals of all classical Lie groups. The exceptional groups could certainly be dealt with in a finite way. Therefore the E8 case should be considered a benchmark for measuring the effectiveness of any representation-theoretic software.

Jeff Adams recruited Fokko du Cloux to lead the software project. First they wanted to compute KLV-polynomials for real groups given that Fokko has done this a decade before for Coxeter groups.

That brings the mathematical story up to about 1985.

By 2002 it was possible via the work of Dan Barbasch to think that one can find a finite description of the unitary duals of all classical Lie groups. The exceptional groups could certainly be dealt with in a finite way. Therefore the E8 case should be considered a benchmark for measuring the effectiveness of any representation-theoretic software.

Jeff Adams recruited Fokko du Cloux to lead the software project. First they wanted to compute KLV-polynomials for real groups given that Fokko has done this a decade before for Coxeter groups.

In november 2005 Fokko had a version of the software which calculates the character tables for all the real forms of the exceptional simple Lie groups except for $E_{8(8)}$, the split real form of E_8 . However this incredible success came with some sadness. Around that time Fokko was diagnosed with ALS and was to die within a year. Until the end he continued to work with David Vogan and Marc van Leeuwen to finish.

From the software we know that there are exactly 453,060 families of irreducible representations and therefore the character table of $E_{8(8)}$ is square matrices of integers of size 453,060. The number of entries is about 200 billion.

From the software we know that there are exactly 453,060 families of irreducible representations and therefore the character table of $E_{8(8)}$ is square matrices of integers of size 453,060. The number of entries is about 200 billion.

More technical considerations and advice from Birne Binegar and Noam Elkies lead to estimate the number of distinct polynomials to be around 800 millions and that the computation should be carried modulo m and then use Chinese Remainder Theorem.

William Stein gave us access to his 64G RAM and 75G SWAP machine SAGE. And after many trials the computation finished on January 8, 2007 just before 9:AM

- ▶ 60 gigabytes of files containing the KLV polynomials
- All irreducible characters are written down
- ► The biggest coefficient that appears is 11,808,808 in a polynomial *P* whose value at 1 is 60,779,787.

Outline

Fokko du Cloux - 12/20/1954 - 11/10/2006

Representation Theory

The Character table of E_8

What next?

• Computation of the unitary dual (in progress)

- Computation of the unitary dual (in progress)
- Integration of the theory of Nilpotent orbits in the software (expected to begin soon)

- Computation of the unitary dual (in progress)
- Integration of the theory of Nilpotent orbits in the software (expected to begin soon)
- Mining the character table to extract representation theoretic information such as:

- Computation of the unitary dual (in progress)
- Integration of the theory of Nilpotent orbits in the software (expected to begin soon)
- Mining the character table to extract representation theoretic information such as: computing Gelfand-Kirillov dimensions of irreducible representations

- Computation of the unitary dual (in progress)
- Integration of the theory of Nilpotent orbits in the software (expected to begin soon)
- Mining the character table to extract representation theoretic information such as: computing Gelfand-Kirillov dimensions of irreducible representations identify James Arthur's special unipotent representations (automorphic forms) 111 among the 453,060

- Computation of the unitary dual (in progress)
- Integration of the theory of Nilpotent orbits in the software (expected to begin soon)
- Mining the character table to extract representation theoretic information such as: computing Gelfand-Kirillov dimensions of irreducible representations identify James Arthur's special unipotent representations (automorphic forms) 111 among the 453,060
- Develop a better interface (in progress)

- Computation of the unitary dual (in progress)
- Integration of the theory of Nilpotent orbits in the software (expected to begin soon)
- Mining the character table to extract representation theoretic information such as: computing Gelfand-Kirillov dimensions of irreducible representations identify James Arthur's special unipotent representations (automorphic forms) 111 among the 453,060
- Develop a better interface (in progress)
- Explain the unitary dual result using Kirillov-Kostant orbit method, or Langlands' ideas about functoriality, or even something entirely new and different for the software is starting to point us in many unexpected directions.

Thank you to:

David Vogan : The Character of E_8 (to appear in AMS Notices)

Fokko du Cloux: who lives in his software

And all the members of the Atlas

Supported by NSF and AIM

END