

Introductory lecture for the workshop
"p-adic representations, modularity and beyond"

- I] A preliminary conjecture for $GL_{d+1}(K)$ and de Rham representations (joint with P. Schneider)
- II] Survey of known cases of the conjecture
- III] Possible refinements for $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

I] In this talk, I fix L and K two finite extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ such that
 $[L:\mathbb{Q}_p] = |\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(L, K)|$.

The basic motivation underlying the "p-adic Langlands programme" is to wonder if there are natural correspondences:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} d+1\text{-dim}^\ell \text{ p-adic repr.} \\ \text{of } \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/L) \end{array} \right\} \overset{?}{\longleftrightarrow} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{p-adic Banach spaces} \\ + \text{ continuous unitary action of } GL_{d+1}(L) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$V \longmapsto B(V)$$

[this is the first naïve question one asks; it is highly probable that things won't be so simple minded ...]

Of course, it works for $d=0$ by LCF (taking 1-dim^ℓ Banach spaces on RHS).

I would like in this lecture to give a preliminary conjecture dealing with $d+1$ -dim^ℓ de Rham repres. of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/L)$ and to sketch the known cases of this conjecture (the most important cases being so far for $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$). This conjecture can be seen as a first step relating the above two worlds (for de Rham representations). A brief talk by L. Berger will go further in the $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -case.

maximal unramified subextension again (increase K if necessary). First, some preliminaries:

(a) Consider the following two categories:

- the category $WD_{L'/L}$ of representations (r, N, V) of the Weil-Deligne group of L on a K -vector space V of finite dimension such that $r|_{W(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/L')}$ is unramified.
- the category $MOD_{L'/L}$ of quadruples $(\varphi, N, \text{Gal}(L'/L), D)$ where D is a free $L'_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K$ -module of finite rank endowed with:
 - $\varphi: D \rightarrow D$ (Frobenius) bijective semi-linear / L'_0 linear / K
 - $N: D \rightarrow D$ (monodromy) linear st. $N\varphi = p\varphi N$
 - $\text{Gal}(L'/L) \curvearrowright D$ semi-linear / L'_0 commuting with φ and N linear / K

Fix an embedding $\sigma'_0: L'_0 \hookrightarrow K$, then Fontaine has defined a functor

$WD: MOD_{L'/L} \rightarrow WD_{L'/L}$ (depending strictly speaking on σ'_0) as follows:

let $V := D \otimes_{L'_0 \otimes K}^{\sigma'_0 \otimes \text{Id}} K$ with the induced $N: V \rightarrow V$ (as N is linear).

let $w \in W(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/L)$ act on V as $\overline{w} \circ \varphi^{-\chi(w)}$ where $w \mapsto \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \text{abs. arith. Frob.} \\ \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}/\mathbb{F}_p) \end{smallmatrix} \right)^{\chi(w)}$
 $\uparrow$
 $\text{Gal}(L'/L)$ $(\chi(w) \in \mathbb{Z})$

then $(r, N, V) \in WD_{L'/L}$ and in fact the functor WD is an equivalence of categories (up to isom. (r, N, V) doesn't depend on the choice of σ'_0).

(b) We now modify the local Langlands correspondence as follows: for an

$\pi := (\text{unitary}) \text{ local Langlands } (v, \chi, \psi)$

by Harris-Taylor and Henniart.

If π^{unit} is generic, define $\pi := \pi^{\text{unit}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} |\det|_L^{-d/2}$ ($|r|_L = \frac{1}{q^d}$)

If π^{unit} is not generic, then π^{unit} is the unique irreducible quotient of a normalized parabolic induction $\text{Ind}_P^{GL_{d+1}} \pi_1^{\text{un}} \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_r^{\text{un}}$ where the

π_i^{un} are generalized Steinberg such that $\pi_i^{\text{un}} \longleftrightarrow_{\text{un-L.L.}} (r_i, N_i, V_i)$ where

$(r, N, V) = \bigoplus_i (r_i, N_i, V_i)$ with (r_i, N_i, V_i) indecomposable. One has to

assume that the π_i^{un} in the parabolic induction are written in a certain way (so that the "does not precede" condition holds). Define:

$$\pi := \left(\text{Ind}_P^{GL_{d+1}} \pi_1^{\text{un}} \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_r^{\text{un}} \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} |\det|_L^{-d/2}.$$

One can then prove that π always admits a unique model over K .

© Now fix: $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet (r, N, V) \in \text{WD}_{L/L} \text{ with } r \text{ semi-simple} \\ \bullet \text{ for each } \sigma: L \hookrightarrow K, \text{ a list of integers } i_{j,\sigma} \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t.} \\ i_{1,\sigma} < \dots < i_{d+1,\sigma}. \end{array} \right.$

Define $\rho := \bigotimes_{\sigma: L \hookrightarrow K} \rho_\sigma$ where $\rho_\sigma = K$ -rational algebraic representⁿ

of GL_{d+1} of highest weight $-i_{d+1,\sigma} \leq -i_{d,\sigma} - 1 \dots \leq -i_{1,\sigma} - d$ where

$GL_{d+1}(L)$ acts via $L \hookrightarrow K$. Define π as above.

Then our main conjecture is:

(i) There is an invariant norm on $\rho \otimes_K \pi$;

(ii) There is an object $(\psi, N, \text{Gal}(L'/L), D) \in \text{MOD}_{L'/L}$ such that $\text{WD}(\psi, N, \text{Gal}(L'/L), D)^{F\text{-ss}} \cong (r, N, V)$ and a (weakly) admissible filtration preserved by $\text{Gal}(L'/L)$ on

$$D_{L'} := L' \otimes_{L_0} D = \prod_{\sigma: L_0 \hookrightarrow K} \underbrace{D_{L'} \otimes_{(L' \otimes_{L_0} K)} (L' \otimes_{L_0} K)}_{D_{L', \sigma}}$$

such that:

$$\frac{\text{Fil}^i D_{L', \sigma}}{\text{Fil}^{i+1} D_{L', \sigma}} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow i \in \{i_{l, \sigma}, \dots, i_{d+1, \sigma}\} \quad (*)$$

Transparency on w. a. filtrations (note that $\text{Fil}^i D_{L', \sigma} = \text{free over } L' \otimes_{L_0} K$).

II The following proposition is easy:

prop.: The central character of $\rho \otimes_K \pi$ is integral (ie admits an invariant norm) iff for any filtration satisfying (*), one has $t_H(D_{L'}) = t_N(D)$.

Corollary: Conjecture holds if r is abs. irreducible (equiv. if π is supercuspidal).

proof: $\rho \otimes_K \pi$ admits an invariant norm iff its central character does
 . a filtration is weakly admissible iff it satisfies $t_H(D_{L'}) = t_N(D)$
 hence the result follows from the proposition. $\square$

Steinberg), then a filtration as in the conjecture is
(weakly) admissible iff $t_H(D_L) = t_N(D)$.

Conj: If π is a generalized Steinberg, then $p \otimes_k \pi$ admits
an invariant norm iff its central character does.

For instance, this conj. holds for $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ ($\pi =$ Steinberg up to twist)
see Teitelbaum, Grose-Kloenne, Emerton

Thm (Schneider, Teit): Assume that (r, N, V) is a direct sum of
unramified characters, then (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii).

The proof uses an examination of the p -adic Satake isomorphism.

The converse (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) is much more difficult in general.

Thm (Berger-B)

$\uparrow$
utilise une stratégie due
à Colmez

: Assume $GL_{d+1}(L) = GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and r is
the direct sum of 2 different characters
(and $N=0$), then the conjecture holds
and moreover there is a unique (up to
equivalence) invariant norm on $p \otimes_k \pi$.

The proof uses the theory of (φ, Γ) -modules, except in the
"ordinary" cases, where an invariant norm on $p \otimes_k \pi$ is obvious.

I want now to sketch the proof of the theorem, that is to say
the proof of (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) of the conjecture. We have to use the Galois represent.

So let V be a potentially crystalline representation of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ of
dim 2 over k that becomes crystalline over an abelian extension L' of $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

that $(\varphi, \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Q}), \text{Duis}(V))$

is an object of $\text{MOD}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ (if we forget the filtration).

• If V is reducible, then it is easy to see that $\rho \otimes_k \pi = \rho(V) \otimes_k \pi(V)$ is contained in a locally analytic parabolic induction $\text{ind}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \chi$ with χ integral, hence there is a unique ^{invariant} norm on $\rho \otimes_k \pi$. No need of V here to prove this.

• Assume V is irreducible.

let $B(V) := p$ -adic completion of $\rho(V) \otimes_k \pi(V)$ with respect to any generating $\mathbb{Z}_p[\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)]$ -submodule of finite type.

Such generating submodules always exist, but it can happen that they are not lattices, i.e. one can have $B(V) = 0$. In fact:

$\exists$ an invariant norm on $\rho(V) \otimes_k \pi(V) \iff B(V) \neq 0$.

The point is that $B(V)$ will admit a description in terms of the (φ, Γ) -module of V .

Transparency on (φ, Γ) -modules.

Th.: Let V be as before and assume that φ on $\text{Duis}(V)$ is semi-simple, then there is a unique (topological) isomorphism:

$$\left(\varprojlim_{\varphi} D(V) \right)^b \simeq B(V)^\vee \quad (\text{enlarging } K \text{ if necessary})$$

where $\left(\varprojlim_{\varphi} D(V) \right)^b \simeq \left\{ (v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_0}, v_n \in D(\tau), \varphi(v_{n+1}) = v_n, \right\} \otimes K$
 (v_n) bounded for weak topology on $D(\tau)$

such that:

non semi-simple = limit case

the action of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \end{pmatrix}$ on $B(V)^\vee$ corresponds to $(v_n) \mapsto (\delta \cdot v_n)$
 $\delta \in \Gamma \xrightarrow[\varepsilon^{-1}]{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$

the action of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ on $B(V)^\vee$ corresponds to $(v_n) \mapsto (\psi^n(1+x)^{\mathbb{Z}_p} v_n)$.

In particular $B(V) \neq 0$, but gives much more: V irred. $\Rightarrow B(V)$ irred. (as (φ, Γ) -module) $\Rightarrow B(V)$ top. irred. (as repr. of the Bael).
 We also get that $B(V)$ is admissible.

Sketch of prf of thm.: twisting (and enlarging K if necessary), can

$$\text{assume } \rho \otimes_K \pi = \text{Sym}^{k-2} K^2 \otimes_K \left(\text{ind}_{\begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}}^{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \alpha \otimes \beta | \cdot |^{-1} \right)$$

where $k \geq 2$, $\alpha, \beta: \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow K^\times =$ smooth characters such that:

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leq \text{val}(\alpha(p)^{-1}) \leq k-1 \\ 0 \leq \text{val}(\beta(p)^{-1}) \leq k-1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \underbrace{\text{val}(\alpha(p)^{-1})}_{\substack{!! \\ \alpha_p}} + \underbrace{\text{val}(\beta(p)^{-1})}_{\substack{!! \\ \beta_p}} = k-1$$

Assume π generic for simplicity in the sequel.

Step 1: $B(V) \simeq B(\alpha)/L(\alpha) \simeq B(\beta)/L(\beta)$

Transparency for $B(\alpha)/L(\alpha)$

Step 2: $\left(B(\alpha)/L(\alpha) \right)^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} \left(\varprojlim_{\mathcal{Q}} D(V) \right)^b$

$$\{ \mu \in B(\alpha)^\vee \mid \langle \mu, L(\alpha) \rangle = 0 \}$$

The map is essentially "p-adic Fourier transform".

let $U_K = \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \lambda^n \in K[[\lambda]] \text{ converging on } \dots \right\}$,

the map $\mu \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \langle \mu, \binom{z}{n} \rangle X^n$ induces an isomorphism

between $C^{an}(\mathbb{Z}_p, K)^\vee$ (= locally analytic distributions on $\mathbb{Z}_p$)

and R_L^+ .

Take $\mu_\lambda \in B(\lambda)^\vee$ and define $\mu_{\lambda,n} \in C^{val(\lambda_p)}(\mathbb{Z}_p, K)^\vee \hookrightarrow C^{an}(\mathbb{Z}_p, K)^\vee$

as: $\langle \mu_{\lambda,n}, f(z) \rangle := \langle \mu_\lambda, \underbrace{\frac{1}{p^n} \mathbb{Z}_p \cdot f(p^n z)}_{\in B(\lambda)} \rangle$

and let $w_{\lambda,n} \in R_K^+$ such that $\lambda_p^{-n} \mu_{\lambda,n} \mapsto w_{\lambda,n}$.

If $\mu_\lambda \in (B(\lambda)/L(\lambda))^\vee$, then $\mu_\lambda \mapsto \mu_\beta \in (B(\beta)/L(\beta))^\vee \rightsquigarrow w_{\beta,n} \in R_K^+$ analogously.

Enlarging K if necessary, one can write $D_{\text{dis}}(V) \simeq K e_\lambda \oplus K e_\beta$

$$\begin{cases} \gamma(e_\lambda) = \lambda_p^{-1} e_\lambda \\ \gamma(e_\beta) = \beta_p^{-1} e_\beta \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \gamma e_\lambda = \lambda(\gamma(\lambda)) e_\lambda \\ \gamma e_\beta = \beta(\gamma(\beta)) e_\beta \end{cases}$$

 $\gamma \in \Gamma$

then the map is: $\mu_\lambda \mapsto (w_{\lambda,n} \otimes e_\lambda \oplus w_{\beta,n} \otimes e_\beta)_n \in \varprojlim_{\Gamma} (R_K^+ \otimes_K D_{\text{dis}}(V))$
 in fact sits in $(\varprojlim_{\Gamma} D(V))^b$.

Any element in $(\varprojlim_{\Gamma} D(V))^b$ can in fact be described like this.

III] One looks for a strong refinement of the previous conjecture, in the spirit of p -adic local Langlands. For instance, as there is an invariant norm iff there is a (weakly) admissible filtration, it suggests:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{equiv. classes of irred. admissible invariant norms on } \rho \otimes \pi \\ \updownarrow ? \\ \text{irreducible (weakly) admissible filtr.: as in the conjecture} \end{array} \right\}$$

Probably not so simple minded in general. However, for $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, that could be roughly the picture. At least, in the case $\pi = \text{Steinberg}$ (up to twist), Colmez has shown that the map:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{irred. (weakly) admissible} \\ \text{filtr.: on } (\varphi, N, D) \\ \text{as in conjecture} \end{array} \longleftrightarrow \begin{array}{l} V \text{ semi-stable} \\ \text{(not crystalline)} \\ \text{irreducible} \end{array} \mapsto \left(\varprojlim_{\varphi} D(V) \right)^b \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{array}{l} \text{completion} \\ \text{of } \rho \otimes_k \pi \\ \text{with respect to} \\ \text{an invariant} \\ \text{norm} \end{array}$$

can be described explicitly
↓

creates equivalence class of invariant norms really depending on the weakly admissible filtrations.

Question: Does this still work for π supercuspidal (for $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$)?

T = continuous representation of $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$
 on a free L_k -module of finite rank

$$D(T) := \left(\hat{G}_{\mathbb{E}^{\text{unr}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} T \right) \hookrightarrow \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^\infty}))$$

$\psi \cup \quad \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}) = \Gamma$

$$D(T) = \text{free over } G_{\mathbb{E}} \simeq L_k[[x]]\left[\frac{1}{x}\right]^{\wedge} \quad \text{rk} = \text{rk}_{L_k}(T)$$

$$\psi: D(T) \rightarrow D(T) \text{ inj. semi-linear}$$

$$(\psi(x) = (1+x)^f - 1)$$

$$\gamma \in \Gamma: D(T) \rightarrow D(T) \text{ bij. semi-linear}$$

$$(\gamma(x) = (1+x)^{\varepsilon(\gamma)} - 1 \text{ where } \varepsilon = p\text{-adic cyclo})$$

Any $v \in D(T)$ can be written uniquely:

$$v = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (1+x)^i \psi^i(v_i)$$

$$\text{Define } \varphi: D(T) \rightarrow D(T) \text{ by } \varphi(v) := v_0.$$

$$\text{Then } \varphi \psi = \text{Id}.$$

$$\text{If } V := T \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p, \quad D(V) := D(T) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

$D := L'_0 e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L'_0 e_n$, $N: D \rightarrow D$ linear
 $\gamma: D \rightarrow D$ bijective semi-linear, $N\gamma = p\gamma N$

$(\text{Fil}^i D_{L'})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} = \text{decreasing exhaustive separated filtration on } D_{L'} := L' \otimes_{L'_0} D$

$$t_N(D) = t_N(\bigwedge_{L'_0}^n D) = \text{val}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \left(\frac{\gamma(e_1, \dots, e_n)}{e_1, \dots, e_n} \right)$$

$$t_H(D_{L'}) = t_H(\bigwedge_{L'}^n D_{L'}) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} i \dim_{L'} \frac{\text{Fil}^i D_{L'}}{\text{Fil}^{i+1} D_{L'}}$$

Def (Fontaine): The Filtration is (weakly) admissible if $t_N(D) = t_H(D_{L'})$ and for any $D' \subseteq D$ preserved by γ, N with the induced Filtration on $D'_{L'}$, one has $t_H(D'_{L'}) \leq t_N(D')$.

"Hodge polygon under Newton polygon"

- For $r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, a function $f: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow K$ is C^r if its Mahler expansion $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \binom{z}{n}$ satisfies

$$n^r |a_n| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

$$\leadsto C^r(\mathbb{Z}_p, K) = \text{Banach space } \|f\|_r = \sup_n \{n^r |a_n|\}$$

- $B(\alpha) :=$ Banach space of functions $f: \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow K$ st.

$$f|_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{ is } C^{\text{val}(\alpha_p)} \text{ and } \beta \alpha^{-1}(z) |z|^{-1} z^{k-2} f\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) |_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{ extends as a function } C^{\text{val}(\alpha_p)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot f \right)(z) &= \alpha(ad-bc) \beta \alpha^{-1}(-cz+a) | -cz+a |^{-1} (-cz+a)^{k-2} \cdot \\ &\quad \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \right) \quad f\left(\frac{dz-b}{-cz+a}\right) \end{aligned}$$

- $L(\alpha) =$ closure of subspace generated by

$$z \mapsto z^j$$

$$z \mapsto \beta \alpha^{-1}(z-a) |z-a|^{-1} (z-a)^{k-2-j}$$

for $a \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $0 \leq j < \text{val}(\alpha_p)$

Then $B(\alpha) / L(\alpha) =$ unitary $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -Banach space